

2 April 1980

MEMORANDUM TO: Executive Officer, DDS&T
Executive Officer, PCS, DDO
Executive Officer, DDA

STAT VIA : [REDACTED]
Chief, Collection Requirements & Evaluation Staff/NFAC

STAT FROM : [REDACTED]
CIA Representative DCID Working Group

SUBJECT : Revision of DCIDs (U)

REFERENCE : A. RSG0009/80, same subject, dtd 29 Jan 80
B. RSG002880, same subject, dtd 20 Feb 80

STAT From the attached, you will see that the Office of the General Counsel (OGC) does not concur in Reference B coordinated recommendation against revision of the DCIDs at this time. We agree with [REDACTED] comment that the DDCI (or the DD/NFA for that matter) would not accept such a recommendation without OGC concurrence. Unless you have overriding arguments, thus, we believe that [REDACTED] should forward to the DDCI and the DD/NFA a statement that the Agency has no objection to the initiation of a systematic review of the DCIDs. Please provide your concurrence/views directly to [REDACTED] as soon as possible. (U)

STAT

STAT

STAT

Attachment:
OGC-80-02286 dtd 19 Mar 80

UNCLASSIFIED

28 March 1980

NOTE FOR: CR&ES

STAT ATTN:

FROM: EA/DD/NFAC

STAT

STAT

Attached is a copy of
 dissent on our proposal
not to do a general revision of the
DCIDs. I feel that perhaps you
should talk to him to reconsider
our differences since I don't feel
the DDCI will buy our recommendation
without OGC concurrence.

Let me know what transpires.

Thanks,

STAT

Attachment:
NFAC #2046-80

A
C
T
I
O
N

2046-80

OGC 80-02286

19 March 1980

STAT
MEMORANDUM FOR:

[REDACTED]
Chief, Action Staff/NFAC

STAT
FROM:

[REDACTED]
Associate General Counsel

SUBJECT:

Revision of Director of Central
Intelligence Directives (DCIDs)

1. This is to comment on your proposed note for signature of the DD/NFA recommending to the DDCI that no general revision of the DCIDs be undertaken at the present time. This Office does not concur in the proposed recommendation.

2. The requirement to consider revision of the DCIDs originated in October 1979 when the DDCI asked the NFIB members to review these regulatory issuances in order to suggest any needed changes and updating. We do not understand the DDCI's request to be one for wholesale revision of the DCIDs. Clearly, many changes that are needed are minor. For example, several of the DCIDs contain references to Executive Order 11905, which was promulgated on 19 February 1976. In most if not all cases these references should be superseded with a reference to Executive Order 12036, which was issued 26 January 1978. Other appropriate changes may be more substantive in nature. Since most of the DCIDs were last revised in May 1976 it is likely that new policy has evolved in some of the areas they cover. Any new policy statements or decisions of general applicability in these areas that are reflected in formal agreements, exchanges of letters, or decision memoranda should be incorporated formally in the DCIDs.

3. You have mentioned the intelligence charter legislation now pending before Congress as a factor which weighs against

overall revision of the DCIDs. We do not agree. Congressional action on a full charter this year is unlikely, and those elements which might be separated out for early action are not likely to be relevant to the DCIDs. In summary, we think the process of reviewing the DCIDs for needed substantive revision should go forward. Obviously this will necessitate action by Agency and Community Staff components which have the primary interest in or responsibility for each DCID. This Office will be glad to assist and advise as needed.



STAT

cc: Secretary, NFIB

☐ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ INTERNAL ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ SECRET
Approved For Release 2005/08/15 : CIA-RDP87B01034R000500240033-2

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Revision of DCIDs

FROM: DD/CA

EXTENSION

NO.

SECOM-D-182

DATE

14 April 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1. C/PPG

15 APR 1980

15 Apr

AK

2. DD/P&M

16 APR 1980

4/16

D

3. DD/SEC

17 APRIL

P

4. D/SEC

17 APR 1980

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

1 to 2, 3, & 4: See attached response from DD/CA. Recommend we interpose no objection to revision of DCID's.

OS REGISTRY
FILE Legal 8

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP		Date
		16 April 1980
TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. <i>2C/PPG</i>	<i>PPG</i>	<i>16 Apr</i>
2. <i>LD 1/10-4</i>	<i>LD</i>	<i>16 APR 1980</i>
3. <i>DDIOS</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>17</i>
4. <i>D/S</i>	<i>K</i>	<i>16 APR 1980</i>
5.		
Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

I checked out the status of 1/10 and not to worry. The CIA response will be based on returns from the Directorates and is not our responsibility. For reasons unknown, the DDA did not staff to OS- as was the case with 1/7. This is not of great import, since we could live with the version reviewed in Oct. 79. We should have a copy of the DCI approved version for our records and I have asked CA to provide one. The only other DCID that involved recent action is 1/7 and we owe nothing at present.

Suggest you forward the memo of 14 April.

1-2. I was concerned at what appeared to be a PPG delinquency re DCID 1/10 per memo.

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
GLK	Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979-281-184

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP		Date
TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)		18 Apr 80
1. DD/P+M	Initials	Date
2. C/PPG	Initials	Date
3. Registry	Initials	Date
4. [Signature]	Initials	Date
5. [Signature]	Initials	Date
Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

SKIP

AFTER REVIEWING ALL OF THE ATTACHED, I BELIEVE WE SHOULD CONTACT [Redacted] AND SAY WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO A FULL DCID REVIEW. WOULD YOU HANDLE PLEASE.

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Room No.—Bldg.

Director of Security

Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979-281-110